



## Complexity Results for Manipulation in Judgment Aggregation

Technische Universität Wien Algorithms and Complexity Group dehaan@ac.tuwien.ac.at

#### Ronald de Haan

#### Judgment Aggregation (JA)

Combining individual opinions on logically related issues into a group opinion.

- Issues: propositional variables,
  - $x_1,\ldots,x_n$

## Sincere judgments



## Integrity constraint: logic formula $\Gamma$ ,

e.g.,  $\Gamma = x_1 \leftrightarrow (x_2 \wedge x_3)$ 

Feasible opinions:

assignments to  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  that satisfy  $\Gamma$ 

- Profile: a list of feasible opinions
- Judgment aggregation procedure: takes a profile as input, and outputs a set of feasible opinions (outcomes)

**Kemeny rule**: outcomes are the feasible opinions that minimize the cumulative Hamming distance to the profile

Hamming distance d(r, r') between r and r': # of issues on which r and r' disagree

## Manipulation



### Intractability as barrier to manipulation



An individual **reporting an insincere opinion** so that the resulting **group opinion is closer to their true opinion**.

(Logical context: only nonnegative, even numbers)

#### Manipulation Problems

Manipulation

#### For JA procedures that produce multiple possible outcomes:

#### **PESSIMISTIC-MANIPULATION(F)**

**Input:** issues  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , an integrity constraint  $\Gamma$ , and a profile  $\overline{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_p)$ .

**Question:** is there a feasible opinion  $r'_1$  such that for all  $r^*_{new} \in \text{KEMENY}(r'_1, r_2, \dots, r_p)$  and for all  $r^*_{old} \in \text{KEMENY}(\bar{r})$ it holds that  $d(r^*_{new}, r_1) < d(r^*_{old}, r_1)$ ?

#### "Can I force each new outcome to be

#### **OPTIMISTIC-MANIPULATION(F)**

**Input:** issues  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , an integrity constraint  $\Gamma$ , and a profile  $\overline{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_p)$ .

**Question:** is there a feasible opinion  $r'_1$  and some  $r^*_{new} \in KEMENY(r'_1, r_2, \dots, r_p)$  such that for all  $r^*_{old} \in KEMENY(\bar{r})$ it holds that  $d(r^*_{new}, r_1) < d(r^*_{old}, r_1)$ ?

#### SUPER-OPTIMISTIC-MANIPULATION(F)

**Input:** issues  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ , an integrity constraint  $\Gamma$ , and a profile  $\overline{r} = (r_1, \ldots, r_p)$ .

Question: is there a feasible opinion  $r'_1$ , some  $r^*_{new} \in KEMENY(r'_1, r_2, \dots, r_p)$  and some  $r^*_{old} \in KEMENY(\overline{r})$ such that  $d(r^*_{new}, r_1) < d(r^*_{old}, r_1)$ ?

"Can I force some new outcome to be

"Can I force some new outcome to be

better than each old outcome?"

better than each old outcome?"

better than some old outcome?"

#### Computational Complexity

PESSIMISTIC-MANIPULATION(KEMENY), OPTIMISTIC-MANIPULATION(KEMENY), and SUPER-OPTIMISTIC-MANIPULATION(KEMENY) are:

# Σp<sub>2</sub>-complete

Manipulation is possible, but in the worst case it is computationally very expensive.

Future work:

Discussion

- Look at more JA procedures.
- Look at group manipulation.
- Do these intractability results also work in a parameterized complexity setting?

#### Questions?

#### Talk to me and ask me!

